

CLASSIFICATION
SECRET

PROCEEDINGS

Chad Wray

Chaf. WE

PRO-
ROSE

A. I. M.

MARKED FOR INDEX AG

NO INDEXING REQUIRED

ONLY QUALIFIED
HEADQUARTERS DESIG
CAN JUDGE INDEXING

ABSTRACT

MICROFILM

ANNEX I USCINCEUR OPLAN NR 100-1 and ANNEX H USCINCEUR OPLAN

NR 100-2

- References: A. OFDT-01307, 21 August 1962
B. OFDT-01312, 30 August 1962
C. DIR-28310
D. OFDW-443, 27 July 1962
E. OFDW-406, 22 January 1962
F. OFDW-158, 14 December 1959

ECFIGHTER

1. By References A and B, BGFIGHTER forwarded copies, respectively, of the subject ANNEXES, revised as of July and August 1962. BGFIGHTER had commented to Hq USEUCOM on drafts of the revised ANNEXES, but the comments in several instances were not accepted and other modifications were made in the ANNEXES without consulting BGFIGHTER.

2. Of major concern first, is the fact that these ANNEXES reflect a change in concept by HQ USFUCOM of the UW areas and modify in a major way the UW requirements to be placed on KURARK through the JCS. This revision has been accomplished in the following way:

a. TAB A to Appendix A, ANNEX I, OPLAN 100-1 and TAB A to Appendix B, ANNEX H, OPLAN 100-2, entitled "LIST OF UW AREAS", contain all of USCINCEUR's revised wartime UW requirements. Under the columns, "Assigned Missions", USHEUCOM has described the type of activities desired in each of the UW areas, whereas, previous ANNEXES of UW requirements contained separate lists, i.e., one each for GW areas, E&E areas, and S&S areas. The S&S missions are now designated as "SUBV" for subversive. The total number of UW areas is 101.

b. All areas listed previously as "GW Areas" have now been expanded to include the requirement for evasion and escape support. (See column "Assigned Mission", TAB A to Appendix 3, ANNEX H, OPLAN 100-2). Hq USFVCOM has explained to SEFIGHTER that this does not

CONFIDENTIAL

DATE TYPED

ANALYSIS

11 October 62

1962

CROSS REFERENCE TO

DISPAT IN SYMBOL AND NUMERICAL

CE 44-0527

HEADQUARTERS FILE NUMBER

1999

THE

1. The first step is to identify the key components of the system. This includes understanding the hardware, software, and data involved.

place a requirement on KUBARK for the development of a
GW asset and an E&K mechanism in the area, but rather that the
asset developed for the area be knowledgeable of guerrilla
tactics and evasion and escape techniques and procedures. The
USCIBCOM reasons that should no guerrilla forces develop in the
proposed GW area, the asset could concentrate on evasion and
escape functions.

4. E&K area requirements as submitted previously have
been determined to be invalid by USCIBCOM and, therefore,
deleted as such from the GW requirements of both plans. The
USCIBCOM explains that the requirements were developed "years
ago" against a set of criteria not known to USCIBCOM. The re-
quirement for KUBARK to provide E&K mechanisms in support of
isolated personnel located in this area will be confined to new
ANNEX 1 to OPLAN 104-1 and ANNEX P to OPLAN 104-1. These ANNEXES will have lists of
side (partial) areas recently drawn up by JCS from a modified
JCS-SEAFL-USCIBCOM list. In several instances, the geographic
boundaries of the previous E&K areas may overlap those of
a GW area, which now has been assigned an E&K mission. These
ANNEXES have not been distributed as yet, however, a draft of
ANNEX 1 to USCIBCOM OPLAN 104-1 is being forwarded under
separate cover as an attachment to this dispatch.

5. These modifications in concept appear to USCIBCOM to raise the
following questions:

- a. Does the JCS concept in the concept of the GW area include
an E&K mission in all instances?
- b. In how many of the GW areas can KUBARK accept the full
E&K responsibility?
- c. Does the JCS concept in the combining of the SEAFL,
USCIBCOM and JCS side area lists? (See Ref. 2).
- d. What shall KUBARK do about the E&K assets developed
against the 16 previously accepted E&K requirements?
- e. Will KUBARK be prepared to accept an E&K responsi-
bility in wartime in the total list (17) of side (partial) areas?

6. A second major concern is raised in ANNEX J, OPLAN 104-1, by
the reference to the military GW planning among the U.S. GW forces and com-
munity foreign GW forces. Although this is described (see paragraph J.1, ANNEX
J and paragraph J.1. (4), Appendix 1 to ANNEX J, as "military GW planning
and foreign governmental agencies and Allied military contingents" and the
planning and development of conventional warfare/counterinsurgency units of
those who are participants in the US Military Assistance Program",
USCIBCOM believes that this concept, if implemented, may interfere with or
complicate the role of the present community by inclusion of current elements
of the community, such as the JCS, USCIBCOM, etc., and the current wartime role
of these KUBARK elements in support of GW forces. USCIBCOM sug-
gests that the role of the wartime GW forces of KUBARK and those
of the community should be a subject for discussion with the JCS when
ANNEX J and the requirements for KUBARK are being reviewed by JCS
and USCIBCOM. Accordingly, this is the first time we have seen "community
only units" only cooperatively with wartime "conventional warfare units".

7. USCIBCOM understands that the subject GW requirements have
been forwarded by USCIBCOM to the JCS for approval.

ANNEX 1, OPLAN 104-1, OPLAN 104-1, U.S.A.

U.S. Army
U.S. Navy
U.S. Air Force
U.S. Marine Corps
U.S. Coast Guard
U.S. Space Force
U.S. Intelligence Community